JURNAL PAUD AGAPEDIA

Journal homepage: https://ejournal-tasikmalaya.upi.edu/agapedia/index

Analysis of the School Principal's Role in Implementing Teacher Performance Evaluation in Early Childhood Education

Muthi'ah Amelia Nuruddin*, Rizki Alifiana Saputri, Farah Fadhilah, Sofi Umi Muslimah, Annafi' Nurul 'ilmi Azizah

Universitas Islam Negeri Raden Mas Said, Indonesia
Corresponding Author: Muthiah2005@gmail.com

Abstract

This study investigates the strategic role of the school principal in planning, implementing, and following up on teacher performance evaluations within an early childhood education (ECE) setting. Employing a qualitative descriptive research design, data were collected through classroom observations, semi-structured interviews with the school principal and teaching staff, and institutional documentation at Al-A'raaf Gentan Islamic Kindergarten. The findings indicate that the principal plays a pivotal instructional leadership role through a structured three-stage supervision model comprising pre-observation planning, direct classroom observation, and post-observation feedback sessions. Post-evaluation actions follow a tiered approach involving developmental mentoring, administrative advisories, formal warning notifications, and merit-based performance incentives. The systematic implementation of teacher evaluation directly enhances pedagogical competence, promotes active and learner-centered classroom environments, and improves overall educational outcomes. This research highlights the critical necessity of sustained administrative leadership and clear supervisory frameworks in early childhood institutions.

Keywords: *school principal, teacher performance evaluation, academic supervision, instructional leadership, early childhood education, quality of learning*

INTRODUCTION

The quality of leadership demonstrated by a school principal as an instructional leader significantly impacts the attainment of institutional educational goals. Beyond basic administrative duties, effective educational leadership necessitates strategic planning, targeted communication, and ongoing professional guidance to motivate educators and support staff. Consequently, institutional success in early childhood education (ECE) remains intrinsically tied to the administrative and supervisory capacity of the school leader.

Teachers are the primary determinants of educational quality due to their direct engagement in the instructional process. Systematic evaluation frameworks are essential to ensure that teaching personnel maintain professional standards spanning pedagogical knowledge, classroom management, social competence, and professional ethics. Performance evaluations serve a dual function: providing objective measures of professional practice and offering actionable feedback to foster continuous professional development.

Within ECE settings, school principals bear the primary responsibility for designing, executing, and reviewing teacher performance assessments. Effective evaluation requires

structured academic supervision, individual mentoring, and systematic follow-up strategies tailored to early childhood development standards. Despite its recognized importance, teacher performance evaluation in practice frequently encounters challenges, including constrained supervisory schedules, inconsistent execution, and insufficient post-assessment follow-up. Addressing these gaps requires a robust leadership model capable of transforming evaluations from routine compliance exercises into continuous quality improvement mechanisms.

This study examines the role of the school principal in executing teacher performance evaluations and assesses its subsequent impact on instructional quality within an early childhood education institution.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study adopted a qualitative descriptive research design to capture an in-depth understanding of teacher evaluation processes and administrative follow-up mechanisms in a naturalistic educational setting. The study was conducted at Al-A'raaf Gentan Islamic Kindergarten.

Participants and Setting

The primary research participants comprised the school principal and early childhood educators. Selection was based on direct involvement in the institutional supervision cycle and classroom instruction.

Data Collection

Data were gathered using three complementary qualitative techniques:

1. **Classroom Observations:** Non-participant observations were conducted during instructional sessions to document teaching behaviours, pedagogical practices, classroom management, and principal-teacher supervisory interactions.
2. **Semi-Structured Interviews:** In-depth interviews were conducted with the principal and teaching staff to examine evaluation procedures, reflective discussions, feedback mechanisms, and administrative decision-making.
3. **Documentary Analysis:** Relevant institutional records—including Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs), Weekly Implementation Plans (*RPPM*), Daily Instructional Plans (*RPPH*), assessment portfolios, anecdotal records, and supervision instruments—were systematically reviewed to corroborate observational and interview data.

Data Analysis and Rigor

Collected data were analysed using qualitative descriptive analysis, involving data reduction, thematic categorization, context interpretation, and narrative synthesis. Data trustworthiness was established through method triangulation (cross-referencing observations, interviews, and documents) and member checking with participants.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The Instructional Leadership Role of the Principal

Observations and interview data reveal that the school principal plays a central role in conducting performance evaluations anchored in standardized institutional criteria. Evaluations are operationalized through specialized supervisory rubrics aligned with institutional Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs). Key evaluation dimensions include instructional fidelity, professional discipline, administrative thoroughness, and educator-child interactions.

Beyond formal bi-annual reviews, the principal conducts routine classroom walkthroughs. These ongoing visits evaluate real-time teaching strategies, teacher-child engagement, punctuality, and peer collaboration, providing a comprehensive assessment of professional practice.

Execution of the Academic Supervision Cycle

Academic supervision is implemented systematically across three interconnected phases:

- **Pre-Observation Phase:** The principal meets individually with the educator to review lesson objectives, instructional materials, teaching strategies, and specific focus areas for evaluation. This step aligns expectations between the supervisor and the teacher.
- **Observation Phase:** The principal conducts non-participant observation during live classroom activities, evaluating the complete lesson delivery from introductory routines to concluding activities. Focus is placed on methodology, resource utilization, classroom management, and child engagement.
- **Post-Observation Phase:** A collaborative feedback session is held wherein the principal highlights observed instructional strengths and offers targeted recommendations to address identified areas for growth.

Supervisory activities are supported through multiple modalities, including direct classroom observations, individual reflective dialogue, administrative staff meetings, and professional learning communities / Teacher Working Groups (*KKG*).

ACADEMIC SUPERVISION CYCLE

1. Pre-Observation → Align expectations, review RPPM/RPPH & instructional objectives.
2. Observation → Direct classroom evaluation of lesson delivery, engagement & classroom dynamics.
3. Post-Observation → Reflective dialogue, constructive feedback & targeted professional development plans.

Post-Evaluation Actions and Administrative Decision-Making

Follow-up actions resulting from performance evaluations are applied through a structured, multi-tiered process:

- Developmental Mentoring & Advisories: Teachers whose instructional delivery falls short of institutional standards receive immediate coaching and direct verbal feedback from the principal.
- Formal Administrative Procedures: Persistent instructional or disciplinary shortcomings trigger a formal warning protocol. The principal submits an evaluation report to the school board/foundation consultants, who determine appropriate administrative steps.
- Professional Growth Support: Individual reflection meetings are conducted to help educators identify pedagogical challenges and formulate targeted improvement strategies.
- Contractual Reviews: Performance data, augmented by peer feedback, directly inform administrative decisions regarding contract renewals for probationary and temporary teaching staff.
- Merit-Based Incentives: High-performing educators are recognized through annual financial incentives and merit bonuses, reinforcing a culture of high performance and professional growth.

Impact on Instructional Quality and Learning Outcomes

Systematic teacher evaluation demonstrated a direct positive effect on classroom quality. Evaluated teachers consistently adopted child-centered, interactive learning methodologies appropriate for early childhood development. Enhanced teacher professionalism and adherence to instructional SOPs correlated with higher student motivation, increased classroom participation, and improved developmental progress.

Discussion

The empirical findings confirm that school principals serve as key catalysts for educational quality when they actively assume instructional leadership roles. The structured three-phase supervisory cycle (pre-observation, observation, post-observation) provides an effective framework for continuous teacher development.

Importantly, the findings highlight that evaluation systems must move beyond punitive measures. By pairing corrective feedback and formal administrative warnings with constructive mentoring and financial rewards, the school leadership creates a balanced environment that supports continuous professional improvement.

In early childhood contexts—where learning depends heavily on dynamic, relational, and play-based interactions—regular supervision ensures that educators maintain high pedagogical standards while adapting to children's developmental needs.

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that the school principal plays a vital instructional leadership role in managing teacher performance evaluations in early childhood education. Structured academic supervision—supported by pre-observation planning, direct observation, post-observation feedback, and tiered follow-up actions—fosters teacher growth, reinforces discipline, and directly enhances classroom instructional quality. Educational leaders should continue refining supervisory protocols and feedback systems to sustain high standards in early childhood education institutions.

REFERENCES

Adellia, P., & Wulandari, A. (2024). Pengaruh kinerja guru dan profesionalisme guru terhadap mutu sekolah pada Sekolah Dasar Negeri di Kecamatan Gedangan Sidoarjo. *E-Jurnal Inspirasi Manajemen Pendidikan*, 12(1), 110–120.

Dwikurnaningsih, Y. (2020). Implementasi supervisi akademik di Lembaga Pendidikan Anak Usia Dini. *JMSP (Jurnal Manajemen Dan Supervisi Pendidikan)*, 4(3), 182–190.

Khadijah, S., Ahyani, N., & Fitriani, Y. (2017). Pengaruh kinerja guru dan kualifikasi akademik guru terhadap mutu pendidikan. *Jurnal Manajemen Dan Bisnis (J-Mabis)*, 1(1), 60–72.

Kurniawan, D., Dwikurnaningsih, Y., & Sulasmono, B. S. (2018). Evaluasi program supervisi akademik di PAUD Swasta. *Kelola: Jurnal Manajemen Pendidikan*, 5(2), 107–123.

Maryani, S., & Primasari, D. A. G. (2019). Pengaruh supervisi kepala sekolah terhadap kinerja guru. *Jurnal Online Universitas PGRI Palembang*, 1156–1165.

Megawati, Syamsir, & Firdaus. (2021). Peran kepala sekolah dalam pengembangan kompetensi guru. *Jurnal Al-Ilmi*, 1(2), 31–45.

Nyoman, I., & Sanglah. (2021). Peningkatan kinerja guru melalui supervisi kepala sekolah pada Sekolah Dasar. *Jurnal Pedagogi Dan Pembelajaran*, 4(3), 528–534.

Pujoandika, R., & Sobandi, A. (2021). Dampak kinerja guru dan motivasi belajar dalam upaya meningkatkan hasil belajar siswa. *Jurnal Pendidikan Manajemen Perkantoran*, 6(1), 47–56.

Pulungtana, J. R., & Dwikurnaningsih, Y. (2020). Evaluasi kinerja mengajar guru IPS dalam mengimplementasikan TPACK. *Jurnal Ilmu Sosial Dan Humaniora*, 9(1), 146–155.

Setiyadi, B., & Rosalina, V. (2021). Kepemimpinan kepala sekolah dalam meningkatkan kinerja guru. *Edunesia: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan*, 2(1), 75–84.

Suci, A. D., Helmalia, R., Aisyah, S., & Pratama, D. (2023). Penilaian dan kriteria kinerja guru melalui supervisi kepala sekolah di TK Aisyiyah Bustanul Athfal 2 Kota Serang. *Jurnal Pendidikan Anak*, 7(2), 274–280.

Susanti, Y., Masrukhan, Hariyadi, A., & Suad. (2023). Analisis fungsi Penilaian Kinerja Kepala Sekolah (PPKS) sebagai supervisor pendidikan. *Equity in Education Journal (EEJ)*, 5(1), 59–64.

Wulan, D. A., & Musyarapah. (2023). Pelaksanaan supervisi oleh pengawas di TK Yaa Bunayya Hidayatullah Kumai. *FIKRUNA: Jurnal Ilmiah Kependidikan Dan Kemasyarakatan*, 5(1), 62–74.